# SUPREME COURT MINUTES MONDAY, AUGUST 27, 2012 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

# S079656

# BACIGALUPO (MIGUEL ANGEL) ON H.C.

Opinion filed: habeas corpus granted, penalty reversed

The petition for writ of habeas corpus is granted insofar as it seeks relief from the judgment of death. The judgment of the Santa Clara County Superior Court in *People v. Miguel Angel Bacigalupo*, No. 93351, is vacated to the extent that it imposes a sentence of death. The petition's remaining claims that challenge petitioner's murder convictions or the special circumstance findings will be resolved by later order to be filed separately.

Upon finality of our opinion, the Clerk of the Supreme Court is to remit a certified copy of the opinion and the order to the Santa Clara County Superior Court for filing, and respondent Attorney General is to serve a copy of the opinion on the prosecuting attorney. (See Pen. Code, § 1382, subd. (a)(2); see also *In re Sixto* (1989) 48 Cal.3d 1246, 1265-1266; *In re Hall* (1981) 30 Cal.3d 408, 435, fn. 9.)

Majority opinion by Kennard, J.

- -- joined by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Corrigan, and Liu, JJ. Concurring opinion by Liu, J.
  - -- joined by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Werdegar, and Corrigan, JJ.

# S188204 D055701 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. ARANDA, JR., (ANTHONY)

Opinion filed: Affirmed in part, reversed in part

For the reasons discussed above, we reverse the judgment of the Court of Appeal insofar as it reversed defendant's conviction of active participation in a criminal street gang. In all other respects, the judgment is affirmed.

Majority Opinion by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J.

-- joined by Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, and Corrigan, JJ.

Concurring and Dissenting Opinion by Kennard, J.

Concurring and Dissenting Opinion by Liu, J.

S191868 A127161 First Appellate District, Div. 5 IN RE GREG F.

Opinion filed: Judgment reversed

The judgment of the Court of Appeal is reversed. The matter is remanded to that court for further proceedings consistent with this opinion.

Majority Opinion by Corrigan, J.

-- joined by Baxter, Werdegar, and Chin, JJ.

Dissenting Opinion by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J.

-- joined by Kennard and Liu, JJ.

S062180 PEOPLE v. VALDEZ (RICHARD)

Time extended to consider modification or rehearing

The time for granting or denying rehearing in the above-entitled case is hereby extended to November 7, 2012, or the date upon which rehearing is either granted or denied, whichever occurs first.

S200158 H036501 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. CLANCEY (WESLEY CIAN)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to September 10, 2012.

No further extensions of time are contemplated.

S202790 F057736 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. JOHNSON (COREY RAY)

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to November 6, 2012.

S204397 G044970/G044980 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 NETJETS LARGE
AIRCRAFT, INC. v.
GUILLORY (WEBSTER J.)

Extension of time granted

On application of respondents and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the replies to answer to petition for review is extended to September 7, 2012.

## **GUILLORY ON DISCIPLINE**

Order filed – DOROTHY DAVIS GUILLORY

On July 3, 2012, the Chief Trial Counsel of the State Bar of California filed a motion requesting the return of 24 disciplinary matters to the State Bar for further consideration. A list of the individual matters is attached to this order. The motion is untimely and, accordingly, is denied. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.14(a)(1) &(4); Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 5.58(F).)

# S201066

# STEIN ON DISCIPLINE

On July 3, 2012, the Chief Trial Counsel of the State Bar of California filed a motion requesting the return of 24 disciplinary matters to the State Bar for further consideration. A list of the individual matters is attached to this order. The motion is untimely and, accordingly, is denied. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.14(a)(1) &(4); Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 5.58(F).)

## S201236

## **BAKER ON DISCIPLINE**

Order filed – GREGORY ALAN BAKER

Order filed – JONATHAN GREGG STEIN

On July 3, 2012, the Chief Trial Counsel of the State Bar of California filed a motion requesting the return of 24 disciplinary matters to the State Bar for further consideration. A list of the individual matters is attached to this order. The motion is untimely and, accordingly, is denied. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.14(a)(1) &(4); Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 5.58(F).)

## S201284

## **SCOVIS ON DISCIPLINE**

Order filed – KIM DENNISE SCOVIS

On July 3, 2012, the Chief Trial Counsel of the State Bar of California filed a motion requesting the return of 24 disciplinary matters to the State Bar for further consideration. A list of the individual matters is attached to this order. The motion is untimely and, accordingly, is denied. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.14(a)(1) &(4); Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 5.58(F).)

## S201290

## NITSCHKE ON DISCIPLINE

Order filed – PETER DAVID NITSCHKE

## **FALK ON DISCIPLINE**

Order filed - GREGORY PETER FALK

On July 3, 2012, the Chief Trial Counsel of the State Bar of California filed a motion requesting the return of 24 disciplinary matters to the State Bar for further consideration. A list of the individual matters is attached to this order. The motion is untimely and, accordingly, is denied. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.14(a)(1) &(4); Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 5.58(F).)

# S201629

Order filed – JAMES HSIAOSHENG LI

On July 3, 2012, the Chief Trial Counsel of the State Bar of California filed a motion requesting the return of 24 disciplinary matters to the State Bar for further consideration. A list of the individual matters is attached to this order. The motion is untimely and, accordingly, is denied. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.14(a)(1) &(4); Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 5.58(F).)

## S201634

# CLARAMBEAU ON DISCIPLINE

LI ON DISCIPLINE

Order filed – ADLORE VIRGIL CLARAMBEAU

On July 3, 2012, the Chief Trial Counsel of the State Bar of California filed a motion requesting the return of 24 disciplinary matters to the State Bar for further consideration. A list of the individual matters is attached to this order. The motion is untimely and, accordingly, is denied. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.14(a)(1) &(4); Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 5.58(F).)

## S201642

## SPIEKER ON DISCIPLINE

Order filed – DAVID MICHAEL SPIEKER

On July 3, 2012, the Chief Trial Counsel of the State Bar of California filed a motion requesting the return of 24 disciplinary matters to the State Bar for further consideration. A list of the individual matters is attached to this order. The motion is untimely and, accordingly, is denied. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.14(a)(1) &(4); Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 5.58(F).)

# S201790

# **GUILIN ON DISCIPLINE**

Order filed – STEVEN ANTHONY GUILIN

## PARK ON DISCIPLINE

Order filed – PETER SUK PARK

On July 3, 2012, the Chief Trial Counsel of the State Bar of California filed a motion requesting the return of 24 disciplinary matters to the State Bar for further consideration. A list of the individual matters is attached to this order. The motion is untimely and, accordingly, is denied. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.14(a)(1) &(4); Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 5.58(F).)

S201886

ROSENTHAL ON DISCIPLINE

Order filed – HAROLD JOHN ROSENTHAL

On July 3, 2012, the Chief Trial Counsel of the State Bar of California filed a motion requesting the return of 24 disciplinary matters to the State Bar for further consideration. A list of the individual matters is attached to this order. The motion is untimely and, accordingly, is denied. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.14(a)(1) &(4); Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 5.58(F).)

## S201897

## **EZEIFE ON DISCIPLINE**

Order filed – NWABUEZE CHUKWUEDOZ EZEIFE

On July 3, 2012, the Chief Trial Counsel of the State Bar of California filed a motion requesting the return of 24 disciplinary matters to the State Bar for further consideration. A list of the individual matters is attached to this order. The motion is untimely and, accordingly, is denied. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.14(a)(1) &(4); Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 5.58(F).)

## S202061

## **ELLOWITZ ON DISCIPLINE**

Order filed – JONATHAN RICHARD ELLOWITZ

On July 3, 2012, the Chief Trial Counsel of the State Bar of California filed a motion requesting the return of 24 disciplinary matters to the State Bar for further consideration. A list of the individual matters is attached to this order. The motion is untimely and, accordingly, is denied. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.14(a)(1) &(4); Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 5.58(F).)

## S202084

# KITAY ON DISCIPLINE

Order filed – ROBERT NORIK KITAY

#### BERRY ON DISCIPLINE

Order filed – MICHAEL IAN BERRY

On July 3, 2012, the Chief Trial Counsel of the State Bar of California filed a motion requesting the return of 24 disciplinary matters to the State Bar for further consideration. A list of the individual matters is attached to this order. The motion is untimely and, accordingly, is denied. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.14(a)(1) &(4); Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 5.58(F).)

## S202421

## STOKES ON DISCIPLINE

Order filed – G. CAT STOKES

On July 3, 2012, the Chief Trial Counsel of the State Bar of California filed a motion requesting the return of 24 disciplinary matters to the State Bar for further consideration. A list of the individual matters is attached to this order. The motion is untimely and, accordingly, is denied. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.14(a)(1) &(4); Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 5.58(F).)

## S202474

## YAN ON DISCIPLINE

Order filed – DEMAS W. YAN

On July 3, 2012, the Chief Trial Counsel of the State Bar of California filed a motion requesting the return of 24 disciplinary matters to the State Bar for further consideration. A list of the individual matters is attached to this order. The motion is untimely and, accordingly, is denied. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.14(a)(1) &(4); Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 5.58(F).)

## S202579

## **SCOVIS ON DISCIPLINE**

Order filed – JENNY SCOVIS

On July 3, 2012, the Chief Trial Counsel of the State Bar of California filed a motion requesting the return of 24 disciplinary matters to the State Bar for further consideration. A list of the individual matters is attached to this order. The motion is untimely and, accordingly, is denied. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.14(a)(1) &(4); Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 5.58(F).)

S202866

# GREENWALD ON DISCIPLINE

Order filed – DAVID GREENWALD

## WALSH ON DISCIPLINE

Order filed – MARK D. WALSH

On July 3, 2012, the Chief Trial Counsel of the State Bar of California filed a motion requesting the return of 24 disciplinary matters to the State Bar for further consideration. A list of the individual matters is attached to this order. The motion is untimely and, accordingly, is denied. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.14(a)(1) &(4); Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 5.58(F).)

## S203075

## **BOURGET ON DISCIPLINE**

Order filed – MARC JULES BOURGET

On July 3, 2012, the Chief Trial Counsel of the State Bar of California filed a motion requesting the return of 24 disciplinary matters to the State Bar for further consideration. A list of the individual matters is attached to this order. The motion is untimely and, accordingly, is denied. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.14(a)(1) &(4); Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 5.58(F).)

S203570 MAU ON DISCIPLINE

Order filed – CLIFFORD KEN MAU

On July 3, 2012, the Chief Trial Counsel of the State Bar of California filed a motion requesting the return of 24 disciplinary matters to the State Bar for further consideration. A list of the individual matters is attached to this order. The motion is untimely and, accordingly, is denied. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.14(a)(1) &(4); Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 5.58(F).)

# S203746 Order filed – NATALIE DOR-DOR WANG

WANG ON DISCIPLINE

On July 3, 2012, the Chief Trial Counsel of the State Bar of California filed a motion requesting the return of 24 disciplinary matters to the State Bar for further consideration. A list of the individual matters is attached to this order. The motion is untimely and, accordingly, is denied. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.14(a)(1) &(4); Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 5.58(F).)

## S201031

## **GUILLORY ON DISCIPLINE**

Remanded to State Bar – DOROTHY DAVIS GUILLORY

On the court's own motion, the following 18 matters are returned to the State Bar for further consideration of the recommended discipline in light of the applicable attorney discipline standards. (*In re Silverton* (2005) 36 Cal.4th 81, 89-94; see *In re Brown* (1995) 12 Cal.4th 205, 220.)

## STEIN ON DISCIPLINE

Remanded to State Bar – JONATHAN GREGG STEIN

On the court's own motion, the following 18 matters are returned to the State Bar for further consideration of the recommended discipline in light of the applicable attorney discipline standards. (*In re Silverton* (2005) 36 Cal.4th 81, 89-94; see *In re Brown* (1995) 12 Cal.4th 205, 220.)

(See order for the 18 matters.)

## S201236

## **BAKER ON DISCIPLINE**

Remanded to State Bar – GREGORY ALAN BAKER

On the court's own motion, the following 18 matters are returned to the State Bar for further consideration of the recommended discipline in light of the applicable attorney discipline standards. (*In re Silverton* (2005) 36 Cal.4th 81, 89-94; see *In re Brown* (1995) 12 Cal.4th 205, 220.)

(See order for the 18 matters.)

## S201321

## **FALK ON DISCIPLINE**

Remanded to State Bar – GREGORY PETER FALK

On the court's own motion, the following 18 matters are returned to the State Bar for further consideration of the recommended discipline in light of the applicable attorney discipline standards. (*In re Silverton* (2005) 36 Cal.4th 81, 89-94; see *In re Brown* (1995) 12 Cal.4th 205, 220.)

(See order for the 18 matters.)

## S201629

# LI ON DISCIPLINE

Remanded to State Bar – JAMES HSIAOSHENG LI

On the court's own motion, the following 18 matters are returned to the State Bar for further consideration of the recommended discipline in light of the applicable attorney discipline standards. (*In re Silverton* (2005) 36 Cal.4th 81, 89-94; see *In re Brown* (1995) 12 Cal.4th 205, 220.)

# CLARAMBEAU ON DISCIPLINE

Remanded to State Bar – ADLORE VIRGIL CLARAMBEAU

On the court's own motion, the following 18 matters are returned to the State Bar for further consideration of the recommended discipline in light of the applicable attorney discipline standards. (*In re Silverton* (2005) 36 Cal.4th 81, 89-94; see *In re Brown* (1995) 12 Cal.4th 205, 220.)

(See order for the 18 matters.)

## S201642

## SPIEKER ON DISCIPLINE

Remanded to State Bar – DAVID MICHAEL SPIEKER

On the court's own motion, the following 18 matters are returned to the State Bar for further consideration of the recommended discipline in light of the applicable attorney discipline standards. (*In re Silverton* (2005) 36 Cal.4th 81, 89-94; see *In re Brown* (1995) 12 Cal.4th 205, 220.)

(See order for the 18 matters.)

## S201790

## **GUILIN ON DISCIPLINE**

Remanded to State Bar – STEVEN ANTHONY GUILIN

On the court's own motion, the following 18 matters are returned to the State Bar for further consideration of the recommended discipline in light of the applicable attorney discipline standards. (*In re Silverton* (2005) 36 Cal.4th 81, 89-94; see *In re Brown* (1995) 12 Cal.4th 205, 220.)

(See order for the 18 matters.)

# S201886

# ROSENTHAL ON DISCIPLINE

Remanded to State Bar – HAROLD JOHN ROSENTHAL

On the court's own motion, the following 18 matters are returned to the State Bar for further consideration of the recommended discipline in light of the applicable attorney discipline standards. (*In re Silverton* (2005) 36 Cal.4th 81, 89-94; see *In re Brown* (1995) 12 Cal.4th 205, 220.)

## ELLOWITZ ON DISCIPLINE

Remanded to State Bar – JONATHAN RICHARD ELLOWITZ

On the court's own motion, the following 18 matters are returned to the State Bar for further consideration of the recommended discipline in light of the applicable attorney discipline standards. (*In re Silverton* (2005) 36 Cal.4th 81, 89-94; see *In re Brown* (1995) 12 Cal.4th 205, 220.)

(See order for the 18 matters.)

# S202084

## KITAY ON DISCIPLINE

Remanded to State Bar – ROBERT NORIK KITAY

On the court's own motion, the following 18 matters are returned to the State Bar for further consideration of the recommended discipline in light of the applicable attorney discipline standards. (*In re Silverton* (2005) 36 Cal.4th 81, 89-94; see *In re Brown* (1995) 12 Cal.4th 205, 220.)

(See order for the 18 matters.)

## S202095

## BERRY ON DISCIPLINE

Remanded to State Bar – MICHAEL IAN BERRY

On the court's own motion, the following 18 matters are returned to the State Bar for further consideration of the recommended discipline in light of the applicable attorney discipline standards. (*In re Silverton* (2005) 36 Cal.4th 81, 89-94; see *In re Brown* (1995) 12 Cal.4th 205, 220.)

(See order for the 18 matters.)

## S202474

## YAN ON DISCIPLINE

Remanded to State Bar – DEMAS W. YAN

On the court's own motion, the following 18 matters are returned to the State Bar for further consideration of the recommended discipline in light of the applicable attorney discipline standards. (*In re Silverton* (2005) 36 Cal.4th 81, 89-94; see *In re Brown* (1995) 12 Cal.4th 205, 220.)

(See order for the 18 matters.)

## S202579

## SCOVIS ON DISCIPLINE

Remanded to State Bar – JENNY SCOVIS

On the court's own motion, the following 18 matters are returned to the State Bar for further consideration of the recommended discipline in light of the applicable attorney discipline standards. (*In re Silverton* (2005) 36 Cal.4th 81, 89-94; see *In re Brown* (1995) 12 Cal.4th 205, 220.)

# GREENWALD ON DISCIPLINE

Remanded to State Bar – DAVID GREENWALD

On the court's own motion, the following 18 matters are returned to the State Bar for further consideration of the recommended discipline in light of the applicable attorney discipline standards. (*In re Silverton* (2005) 36 Cal.4th 81, 89-94; see *In re Brown* (1995) 12 Cal.4th 205, 220.)

(See order for the 18 matters.)

## S202901

## WALSH ON DISCIPLINE

Remanded to State Bar – MARK D. WALSH

On the court's own motion, the following 18 matters are returned to the State Bar for further consideration of the recommended discipline in light of the applicable attorney discipline standards. (*In re Silverton* (2005) 36 Cal.4th 81, 89-94; see *In re Brown* (1995) 12 Cal.4th 205, 220.)

(See order for the 18 matters.)

## S203570

## MAU ON DISCIPLINE

Remanded to State Bar – CLIFFORD KEN MAU

On the court's own motion, the following 18 matters are returned to the State Bar for further consideration of the recommended discipline in light of the applicable attorney discipline standards. (*In re Silverton* (2005) 36 Cal.4th 81, 89-94; see *In re Brown* (1995) 12 Cal.4th 205, 220.)

(See order for the 18 matters.)

## S203746

# WANG ON DISCIPLINE

Remanded to State Bar – NATALIE DOR-DOR WANG

On the court's own motion, the following 18 matters are returned to the State Bar for further consideration of the recommended discipline in light of the applicable attorney discipline standards. (*In re Silverton* (2005) 36 Cal.4th 81, 89-94; see *In re Brown* (1995) 12 Cal.4th 205, 220.)